

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No. 10/724,518	Applicant(s) WILLIAMS ET AL.	
	Examiner WILLIAM J. GOODCHILD	Art Unit 2145	

All Participants:

(1) WILLIAM J. GOODCHILD.

(2) John Bacoch (Applicant Rep).

Date of Interview: 17 July 2008

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 1400

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
storage media and delay (wait time)

Claims discussed:
10-11, 18-19

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Discussed possible amendments to claims 10 and 18-19, adding storage to computer readable media.
Discussed adding similar statement of claim 13 to claims 11 and 18.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Jason D Cardone/
 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2145
 /William J Goodchild/
 Examiner, Art Unit 2145

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)